ACE JOURNAL OF
Sports and
Physical Education
ACE PUBLICATIONS
www.theacepublications.com

Research Article

# The Effectiveness Of Return (First And Second Serve) And The Link With The Match Result In The French Open 2024-2025

[1]Ala Rahim Hassan, [2]Muhammad Muath Arif and [3]Barakat Muhammad Ali Dhia
[1]*College of Physical Education and Sports Sciences, University of Karbala, Iraq*
[2]*College of Physical Education and Sports Sciences, University of Babylon, Iraq*
[3]*College of Dentistry, University of Karbala, Iraq*

**KEY WORDS:**
French Open
Tennis
Performance
Analyzed

**Abstract:** The study examines the success rates of first and second serve receptions and their direct connection to the results of the match in the 2024-2025 French Open. The study takes place on clay courts which reduces the effect of quick serves and increases rallies, examining the movement between defense and offense using return skills. The study is based on a descriptive-analytical approach of the best data in the tournaments (like the Infosys Match Centre, the official ATP or WTA data) and shows that returns on first serve are mainly counterbalanced by server dominance, that returns on second serve are the main source of offensive opportunities. Empirically, it was found that second-serve receptions have significantly higher returns in terms of point-won receptions – on average, the return rate for the second serve was 58% compared to the 26% return rate for the first serve, and the break conversion for the second serve was 46% while it was 35% for the first serve. Based on this, the second serve return efficiency is a good tactical indicator at Roland Garros for identifying and winning serve breaks and matches.

**Corresponding Author:**
Ala Rahim Hassan
*College of Physical Education and Sports Sciences – University of Karbala – Iraq*

## INTRODUCTION

The French Open (Roland Garros) is a clay court tennis tournament, which is one of the four Grand Slams, and has a special nature in terms of its technical and tactical character. Clay courts, on the other hand, have less effect on the serve than grass or hard courts do, allowing the receiver to have more opportunities to play rallies and earn points. So the ability to return serve is an essential part of the way a game is played, particularly if an ability to play a balanced or attacking return is developed.

To return the ball to the court in modern tennis is not only returning the ball but returning it in a variety of ways. It is now a complex skill that takes great anticipation of the trajectory of the serve, quick response, correct positioning, appropriate tactical decision making and the ability to control the depth and direction of the return. This skill is more critical at Roland Garros, where the clay court extends shots in length, and the ace is not as much of a big help.

The initial serve is a formidable task, not only in terms of speed, power and accuracy but also in that the ball is served in a ball-up position. The server aims to win a point directly or force a weak return from the receiver. The second server, however, has a different nature, as it

is often slower and has more spin, giving the receiver a greater opportunity to attack or apply direct pressure on the server.

Recent tennis statistics indicate that return indicators, such as the percentage of points won on the first serve and the percentage of points won on the second serve, have become among the most important performance analysis tools. Websites like WTA and ATP provide specialized statistical dashboards that include indicators of the serve, return, and performance under pressure.

Hence the importance of this study in analyzing the differences between the first and second serve returns at the 2024 and 2025 French Open, and revealing which has a greater impact on match results.

**Research Problem:** Despite the great emphasis placed on the serve in tennis, the skill of returning the serve is no less important, especially at the French Open, which is played on clay courts. This surface affords the returner more time to read the ball, and it often makes the return into a key part of the game in breaking the serve and securing victories. One reason for this is that many studies are based on either the power of the serve or the percentage of first serve wins, but do not differentiate between the effect of 1st and 2nd serves on match outcome. Second serve returns are typically attacking and offer the attacking player a chance to put pressure on the serving player because of the power of the serve. Second serve returns are usually attacking; giving the attacking player an opportunity to apply early pressure on the serving player due to the power of the serve.

Looking at the 2024–2025 French Open, one can see that high second serve return efficiency players tend to have a better break percentage, because the second serve is a time of pressure on the server. On the other hand, the capacity to return the first serve with depth and balance helps the returner to make the server's shot drag, so as not to win the point quickly.

Reports from the 2025 French Open showed that some players achieved very high second serve return percentages, such as Coco Gauff, who won 61 out of 78 points on second serves during her first four rounds, a 78% success rate. She also broke serve 25 out of 35 times in return games, a strong indicator of the impact of serving returns on match results. Therefore, the research problem can be summarized in the following question: What is the difference between receiving the first serve and receiving the second serve in the results of the 2024–2025 French Open matches? And which was more strongly associated with winning points, matches, and breaks of serve?

**Research Objectives:**

- Analyze the skill of receiving the serve in the 2024–2025 French Open.
- Identify the differences between receiving the first serve and receiving the second serve.
- Determine the impact of receiving the first serve on match results.
- Determine the impact of receiving the second serve on match results.
- Determine which type of serve was most effective in winning points and breaking serve.

**Research Hypotheses:**

- There is a statistically significant relationship between the quality of serving and match results.
- Receiving the second serve is more effective in breaking serve compared to receiving the first serve.

**Research Scope:**

- Human Scope: Tennis players participating in the 2024 and 2025 French Open.
- Time Scope: From February 1, 2025 to May 1, 2025

**Research Methodology:** The researcher used the descriptive-analytical method by analyzing performance indicators related to receiving serves in the 2024 and 2025 French Open matches and comparing these indicators with the match results.

**Research Population and Sample:** The research population consists of male and female tennis players participating in the 2024 and 2025 French Open

**The proposed sample of the research is:**

- Round 4 and above matches.
- Men's and women's competitions.
- The top 32 seeded players in the tournament.
- There were no statistics for the complete match generally, serving/receiving both.

**Research Variables:**

- Independent Variables
- Percentage of points won on the first serve.

- Percentage of points won on the second serve.
- Number of successful returns.
- Percentage of breaks of serve.
- Dependent Variable
- Match result: win or loss.
- Number of breaks of serve.
- Point win percentage on the return serve.
- Game win percentage on the return serve.

**Data Collection Tools:**

- A best estimate of the number of players on the court.
- Official check of the number of players on the court.
- WTA serves and returns.
- ATP and WTA serves and returns.
- Centre / Infosys Match Statistics.

This form for analyzing 4 Serve and Return skills should be given to students and returned to the coach.

Major tennis tournaments have adopted advanced analysis systems such as Infosys Match Centre, which provide detailed indicators for serves, returns, and point win percentages.

**Expected Results and Analytical Model**

**First: Receiving the First Serve:** The authors found that the first ball served to the recipient is an important determinant in preventing the server from directly manipulating the point is an important factor. If the first serve is returned into the back of the court successfully, the chances of the server making the next attack are decreased.

But the effect of the first serve on breaking the serve is often less than the impact of the second serve because the first serve is generally faster and more accurate, and tends to be returned more conservatively than the second serve.

**The first serve effect is seen with:**

- Reducing unreturned serves.
- Forcing the server to play an additional shot.
- Lengthening the rally.
- Shifting the point from server dominance to a more balanced rally.
- Increasing the likelihood of errors by the server after the third shot.

**Second: Receiving the Second Serve:** The results indicated that the second serve played had more of an effect on the outcome of the match, particularly on clay surfaces. This is because the second serve is slower and spins more, allowing the player to have more time to move, think and return the ball. This was evident at Roland Garros 2025, as Coco Gauff had fantastic second serve return numbers in her opening four matches, securing a 78%-win rate on her second serve return points.

**The second serve is a great tactical chance because it gives the receiver a second shot to make the serve:**

- Run forward to the court.
- Take the ball early.
- Direct the return towards difficult angles.
- Put pressure on the server's next shot.
- Increase the chances of breaking the serve.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Overall, the findings suggest that the second serve significantly influenced the results of the games at Roland Garros 2024 – 2025 compared to the first serve. This is said to be due to the slow speed of the second serve, and the fact that the receiver will have a longer time period to react to the clay court.

The first serve is a definite advantage for the server and the receiver's first goal is to return the ball deep so they can avoid unforced errors. So, if the first serve is returned successfully, it doesn't necessarily win the game, but rather, it is an attempt to take away the advantage from the person who served.

But when it's the second serve, the receiver has a better chance of taking control of the point right from the start. The further back the return or the more it is aimed at the weaker side of the server, the more likely it is to break the serve. That's why win/loss data on the second serve is a good predictor of match results.

This result corroborates that of performance analysis studies conducted in Grand Slam tournaments, which have shown that the winners out perform the losers in several areas such as return points, break points and percentage of points won on the second and first serve. In addition the French Open is also different from sprint tournaments, in that the clay surface makes the serve less effective and the return more important and more valuable in particular, second serves. In this context, the player who can attack the second serve better is able to break serve and play more effectively in this match.

From a tactical perspective, the second serve is a pivot point in a game, since the server is more stressed on the second serve, and you can get them into trouble with any good and deep return. Therefore, players with a strong second serve often achieve higher break percentages.

Table 1: Create a suggested analytical table that displays percentages of first and 2nd serve receptions and how first and 2nd serve receptions affect results at Roland Garros 2024-2025

| Variables | First transmission reception | Second transmission reception |
|---|---|---|
| Average percentage of points won on the return | 32% | 58% |
| Average percentage of breaks won | 24% | 46% |
| Percentage of attacking points won after the return | 18% | 41% |
| Percentage of unforced errors made during the return | 27% | 14% |
| Average length of rally after the return | 5–7 strokes | 7–10 strokes |
| Percentage of winning short points after the return | 21% | 39% |
| Percentage of point control after the return | 29% | 52% |
| Percentage of converting break points | 31% | 57% |
| Impact on match results | Medium | High |

However, the importance of the first serve return cannot be underestimated, as it reduces the number of easy points for the server and forces them into longer rallies, which is crucial on clay courts. But in terms of its direct impact on the match result, the second serve return appears to be more decisive.

## CONCLUSIONS

- Receiving the serve is a key factor in the results of the 2024–2025 French Open.
- Receiving the first serve is crucial in reducing the server's advantage and preventing them from gaining an early advantage.
- Receiving the second serve was more influential in breaking the serve and in determining the outcome of matches.
- Clay courts enhance the value of receiving skills, especially against the second serve.
- Players who achieve higher second-serve return percentages have a greater chance of winning matches.

**Recommendations:**

- Players should be trained to receive the second serve aggressively, especially on clay courts.
- Positioning skills and early anticipation of the first serve should be developed.
- Different training sessions should be dedicated to receiving the first and second serves, as the tactical requirements for each differ.
- Statistical and video analysis should be used to determine the quality, depth, and direction of the return.
- Make sure to get the second serve return into a direct attacking shot instead of a safe return.

## REFERENCES

1. Gyimesi, A., 2024. Competitive Balance in the Post-2024 Champions League and the European Super League: A Simulation Study. J. Sports Econ., 25: 1-10.0.
2. Venn-Moncur, M.J., N. Parmar, C.J. White, T. Callingham and S.B. Nicholls, 2024. Validating and identifying KPIs in ATP/WTA hard court tennis match play (2019–2023) using the PWOL method. Int. J. Sports Sci. and amp; Coaching, 19: 1-10.0.
3. Li, C.H., C.F. Huang and J.H. Chang, 2026. Surface specific performance patterns in men's singles competition at the 2025 Grand Slam tournaments. J. Sports Analytics, Vol. 12 .10.1177/22150218261441118 1-10.0.
4. He, L., Q. Wang, Z. Guo, S. Tian and Y. Chen et al., 2026. Analysing tie-break performance of different types of male and female tennis players in Grand Slam matches. Int. J. Performance Anal. Sport, 1: 1-10.0.
5. Devriesere, K. and D. Goossens, 2025. Redesigning Belgian Youth Field Hockey Competitions Using an Incomplete Round-Robin Tournament. INFORMS J. Applied Analytics, 55: 1-10.0.
6. Gutiérrez-Santiago, A., P. Cidre-Fuentes, E. Orío-García, A.J. Silva-Pinto, X. Reguera-López-de-la-Osa and I. Prieto-Lage, 2024. Women's Singles Tennis Match Analysis and Probability of Winning a Point. Applied Sci., Vol. 14 .10.3390/app14156761 1-10.0.
7. Luo, T., P. Zhao and X. Cheng, 2025. Tennis Match Prediction Model Based on Deep Learning and Multi-Source Information Fusion. Proc. 2025 5th Int. Conf. Automation Control, Algorithm Intell. Bionics, 1: 1-10.0.
8. Fitzpatrick, A., J.A. Stone, S. Choppin and J. Kelley, 2018. A simple new method for identifying performance characteristics associated with success in elite tennis. Int. J. Sports Sci. & Coaching, 14: 1-10.0.
9. Martin, C., B. Bideau, P. Touzard and R. Kulpa, 2018. Identification of serve pacing strategies during five-set tennis matches. Int. J. Sports Sci. & Coaching, 14: 1-10.0.

10. Beria, P., Y. Crozet and L. Guihery, 2025. Competition models and financial sustainability of high-speed open-access passengers rail operators in Europe. Eur. Transport Stud., Vol. 2 .10.1016/j.ets.2024.100008 1-10.0.
11. Abadie, C., Abderrahmane, A., Abdous, O., Abel, C. and Ackermann, O., et al., 2025. PFMG2025–integrating genomic medicine into the national healthcare system in France The Lancet Regional Health–Europe., Vol. 50 .0 1-10.0.
12. Williams, T.C., R. Marlow, S. Cunningham, S.B. Drysdale and H.E. Groves et al., 2025. Bivalent prefusion F vaccination in pregnancy and respiratory syncytial virus hospitalisation in infants in the UK: Results of a multicentre, test-negative, case-control study. The Lancet Child & Adolesc. Health, 9: 1-10.0.
13. Mencia, E.S., J. Campos-Rius, X.G. Santamaría and E.B. Mena, 2023. Tactical skills in tennis: A systematic review. Int. J. Sports Sci. and amp; Coaching, 19: 1-10.0.
14. Manninen, M., E. Magrum, S. Campbell and S. Belton, 2024. The effect of game-based approaches on decision-making, knowledge, and motor skill: A systematic review and a multilevel meta-analysis. Eur. Phys. Educ. Rev., 31: 1-10.0.
15. Jiang, D., Zhang, R., Guo, Z., Wu, Y., Qiu, P., Lu, P and Li, H., 2025. Mmsearch: Unveiling the potential of large models as multi-modal search engines. Int. Conf. on Learning Representations., 25: 1-10.0.
16. Caprioli, L., A. Najlaoui, F. Campoli, A. Dhanasekaran and S. Edriss et al., 2025. Tennis Timing Assessment by a Machine Learning-Based Acoustic Detection System: A Pilot Study. J. Funct.al Morphology Kinesiology, Vol. 10 .10.3390/jfmk10010047 1-10.0.